Baseline Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment

Tierra Solutions, Inc.

East Brunswick, New Jersey

April 2015

Table of Contents

1.	Introdu	uction		1-1			
	1.1	Object	tives	1-1			
	1.2	Docun	nent Overview	1-3			
2.	Genera	al Meth	odology	2-1			
	2.1	Deviat	tions	2-2			
3.	Shorel	ine Hal	oitat Characterization	3-1			
	3.1	Metho	dology	3-1			
	3.2	Result	ts	3-2			
4.	Shorel	ine Hur	man Use Characterization	4-1			
	4.1	Metho	dology	4-1			
	4.2	Result	ts	4-2			
5.	Identif Approa		of Sampling Areas and Evaluation of Sampling	5-1			
	5.1	Metho	dology	5-1			
		5.1.1	Crab Survey Methods	5-1			
		5.1.2	Clam Survey Methods	5-2			
	5.2	5.2 Results		5-3			
		5.2.1	Identification of Sampling Areas	5-3			
		5.2.2	Experimental Blue Crab Collection	5-3			
		5.2.3	Experimental Clam Collection	5-4			
		5.2.4	Experimental Sediment Sampling	5-4			
6.	Bird Nest and Mammal Surveys		6-1				
	6.1	Methodology		6-1			
	6.2	Result	ts	6-1			
7.	References						
Та	bles						

Table 3-1 Shoreline Characterization Results

Table of Contents

	Table 3-2	Vegetation Species Observed
	Table 3-3	Wildlife Species Observed
	Table 3-4	Observed Potential Contaminant Migration Pathways
	Table 4-1	Human Activity Observations
	Table 5-1	Blue Crab Collection Results
	Table 5-2	Bivalve Clam Collection Results
	Table 6-1	Bird Nest Observations
	Table 6-2	Mammal Observations
Fig	gures	
	Figure 1-1	Regional Map
	Figure 3-1	Shoreline Segment Identification
	Figure 3-2	Shoreline Habitat Characterization and Sampling Locations
	Figure 4-1	Shoreline Land/Human Use Characterization
Ар	pendices	
	Α	Field Data Forms
	В	Photo Log
	С	Shoreline Characterization Video (available on DVD)

nbsa recon survey report_april 2015_clean

Baseline Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment

1. Introduction

This Reconnaissance Survey Report (report) has been prepared by Tierra Solutions, Inc. (Tierra) on behalf of Occidental Chemical Corporation (the successor to Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company [formerly known as Diamond Alkali Company]) under the Newark Bay Study Area (NBSA) Administrative Order on Consent, entered pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Index No. 02-2004-2010 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [USEPA] 2004). The Reconnaissance Survey was conducted under the USEPA-approved NBSA Reconnaissance Survey Work Plan (Work Plan, Tierra 2013a) (via September 5, 2013 email from Ms. Eugenia Naranjo [USEPA] to Ms. Carlie Thompson [Tierra]).

This report presents the results of the Reconnaissance Survey that was conducted in the NBSA from September 11 to September 15, 2013. The survey was performed by ARCADIS on behalf of Tierra as part of the Baseline Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (BHHERA) for the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the NBSA. The NBSA is defined as Newark Bay and portions of the Hackensack River, Kill van Kull, and Arthur Kill (USEPA 2004; Figure 1-1).

1.1 Objectives

One of the main objectives of the Reconnaissance Survey was to provide qualitative observations of shoreline features and characteristics. As part of the survey, the shoreline was divided into segments based on land use and/or habitat type. For each segment, the presence and extent of shoreline features, vegetation, and other characteristics were documented on field data forms, and a video and still camera were used to record shoreline conditions. Observations of human access points (e.g., parks, boat launches) and human activities in the NBSA were also documented. Areas with sufficient habitat to potentially support bird nests and terrestrial mammals were surveyed on foot. Collectively, information regarding shoreline features from the Reconnaissance Survey will be used to characterize human and ecological usage in the BHHERA.

Another objective of the Reconnaissance Survey was to gather information that may be used to support future sediment and biological tissue sampling that will be conducted for the upcoming BHHERA. The existing information that was reviewed prior to conducting the survey included historic site information (Problem Formulation [Tierra 2013b] and Phase I and II Sediment Investigations [Tierra 2014]), data from past studies performed within the NBSA (USEPA's Regional Estuary Monitoring Program

nbsa recon survey report april 2015 clean

Baseline Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment

[REMAP] data [USEPA 1998], U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [USACE] aquatic biological surveys [USACE 2003; 2004a,b; 2005; 2006a,b; 2007a,b,c; 2008a,b; 2009; 2013]), navigational charts, and current satellite imagery from Google Earth. The information was reviewed to identify Intertidal Areas and associated Subtidal Flats that would potentially be suitable for collecting bivalves (clams) and crabs. The Reconnaissance Survey ground-truthed these areas to identify any potential obvious physical changes that would limit the accessibility or effectiveness of performing clam and crab sampling within targeted areas. Ground-truthing did not include detailed mapping of all Intertidal Areas and Subtidal Flats, as this was beyond the effort of this survey and would require bathymetric surveys. In addition to the visual ground-truthing, reviews of literature pertaining to sampling methodologies, seasonality, regulations, and typical tissue masses of live clam and crabs were conducted.

Specific ground-truthing that was conducted as part of the Reconnaissance Survey was as follows:

- Target Organisms: Existing data indicate that populations of bivalves (softshell clams [Mya arenaria] and ribbed mussels [Geukensia demissa]) and crabs (blue crab [Callinectes sapidus]) occur within the NBSA. Field reconnaissance was conducted to determine general abundance and ability to collect target species.
- Tissue Mass: Tissue mass of approximately 194 grams per sample is required to analyze the full target constituent list. This sample mass would require approximately 15 to 20 clams (based on tissue estimates from Beal [2002] and minimum legal size of 1.5 inches) and 25 to 30 hard shell crabs (based on tissue estimates from Tierra [2002] and Windward [2009] and minimum legal size of 4.5 inches [carapace width]). Field reconnaissance was conducted to determine the availability of appropriately sized individuals for the proposed target species.
- Target Areas: Water depths and tide changes cause varying conditions within the
 proposed sampling areas. Also, aerial imagery indicates some potential changes
 to shoreline areas. Field reconnaissance was conducted to evaluate habitat,
 accessibility, and safety (e.g., in-water obstructions or land/water use changes)
 under various tidal conditions.

Results of the survey include the identification and documentation of human and ecological uses of the shoreline, identification of sampling areas for subsequent field efforts, optimization of sampling methodology, and identification of wildlife species inhabiting the NBSA, all of which will further support the BHHERA. It should be noted

nbsa recon survey report april 2015 clean

Baseline Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment

that because the Reconnaissance Survey was conducted as a singular event during a brief one-week period, the absence or limited sightings of a species during the survey will not be used to exclude potential receptors from the BHHERA.

The specific tasks completed as part of the Reconnaissance Survey are as follows:

- Task 1 Shoreline Habitat Characterization: This task identified the shoreline habitat types found within the NBSA (e.g., vegetation, riprap, bulkhead).
- Task 2 Shoreline Human Use Characterization: This task identified human
 use areas (e.g., industrial/commercial, residential, recreational) along the NBSA
 shoreline and documented observations of human activities (e.g., port/dock work,
 fishing).
- Task 3 Identification of Sampling Areas and Evaluation of Sampling Approaches: This task identified preliminary locations in the Intertidal Areas for subsequent sampling activities and verified that the sampling equipment proposed for the investigation is suitable to collect the desired biota and sediment samples.
- Task 4 Bird Nest Survey: This task identified possible bird nesting areas.
- Task 5 Mammal Survey: This task documented signs of mammal species that
 may utilize habitats within the NBSA.

1.2 Document Overview

This report summarizes the results of the 2013 Reconnaissance Survey in the NBSA. An overview of the general scope of reconnaissance survey activities is provided in Section 2. A description of each task (shoreline habitat characterization, shoreline human use characterization, identification of sampling areas and evaluation of sampling approaches, and bird nest and mammal surveys) and a summary of the results are presented in Sections 3 through 6, respectively. References are provided in Section 7.

The text is supported by relevant tables and figures, as well as the following appendices:

- Appendix A Field Data Forms
- Appendix B Photo Log
- Appendix C Shoreline Characterization Video (on DVD)

nbsa recon survey report abril 2015 clean

Baseline Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment

6. Bird Nest and Mammal Surveys

This section describes the methodology and results of the bird nest and mammal surveys.

6.1 Methodology

Bird nest and mammal survey areas were identified based on the habitat characterization and segments identified as "habitat" during the video survey on September 11, 2013. Where feasible, the boat was brought to shore in these habitat areas and field staff surveyed the shoreline on foot looking for remnants of bird nests and signs of mammals (e.g., scat, tracks). Areas for bird and mammal surveys included the southern portion of the NBSA, such as on Shooters Island (Segment 32), in Segment 1, and in the Arthur Kill Wetlands Complex near the Goethals Bridge (Segment 33). Additional areas for surveying included Kearny Point (Segment 20), the large intertidal area in Segment 22, and along various sandy shoreline areas.

6.2 Results

Results of the bird nest and mammal surveys are provided in Tables 6-1 and 6-2, respectively. Locations of observations are shown on Figure 3-2, and direct and indirect wildlife observations are provided in Table 3-3. Associated photographs are provided in the photo log in Appendix B (B-22 through B-24).

No bird nests were observed along any portions of the shoreline; rather, they were observed located on structures in the water (e.g., mile markers, abandoned/dilapidated wooden and metal pier structures; Table 6-1, Appendix B-22). Both large and small nests were observed. The large nests consisting of hard sticks are likely to be osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) nests. The smaller nests consisting of softer grasses and seaweed that are also located on structures in the NBSA are likely to be double-crested cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) nests.

Direct mammal sightings were documented, as well as any signs of mammals present along the shoreline (e.g., tracks, scat). Results are provided in Table 6-2 and in associated photographs in Appendix B (B-23 and B-24). The majority of mammals are human-tolerant species that are frequently found in urban areas, including Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), skunk (e.g., *Mephitis mephitis*), coyote (*Canis latrans*), deer (e.g., *Odocoileus virginianus*), rabbit (e.g., *Sylvilagus* spp.), and cat (*Felis catus*). A muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*) was seen swimming in the large intertidal area in Segment 22.

nbsa recon survey report april 2015 clean 6-1

Table 3-3
Wildlife Species Observed

Common Name	Scientific Name
Birds	
American crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos
Belted kingfisher	Megaceryle alcyon
Black duck	Anas rubripes
Blue-winged teal	Anas discors
Brant	Branta bernicla
Canada goose	Branta canadensis
Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis
Common sandpiper	Actitis hypoleucos
Common tern	Sterna hirundo
Double-crested cormorant	Phalacrocorax auritus
Great blue heron	Ardea herodias
Herring gull	Larus argentatus
House wren	Troglodytes aedon
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus
Laughing gull	Leucophaeus atricilla
Little green heron	Butorides virescens
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos
Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos
Mourning dove	Zenaida macroura
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus
Pied-billed grebe	Podilymbus podiceps
Red-winged blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus
Ring-billed gull	Larus delawarensis
White egret	Ardea alba
Mammals	
Cottontail rabbit	Lepus sylvaticus
Domestic cat	Felis catus
Domestic dog	Canis lupus familiaris
Eastern coyote	Canis latrans
Muskrat	Ondatra zibethicus
Norway rat	Rattus norvegicus
Raccoon	Procyon lotus
Striped skunk	Mephitis mephitis
White-tailed deer	Odocoileus virginianus
Reptiles	
Diamondback terrapin	Malaclemys terrapin

Notes


Wildlife noted were direct (i.e., visual, audible) observations, as well as observations of scat and/or tracks.

Table 6-1
Bird Nest Observations

Shoreline				Coordinates (DD.MM.SSSS) ²	
Segment ¹	Possible Species	Description	Appendix	Longitude	Latitude
1	Osprey	Single nest on old rusty boiler		40.38.6217	74.10.0793
4	Cormorant	~ 8 nests on mile marker in channel near Bayonne Bridge		40.38.6363*	74.08.7421*
24	Cormorant	~ 9 nests on mile marker #15	B-22	40.40.6110	74.08.0855
25	Osprey	Single nest on piling		40.39.6314	74.09.3917
29/30	Gull/cormorant	~ 8 nests on mile marker #22		40.38.8688	74.10.2905
32	Osprey/cormorant	~15 nests on abandoned wooden structures		40.38.6657	74.09.8760


Notes:


- 1. Shoreline segments are shown on Figure 3-1.
- 2. Bird nest observation locations are shown on Figure 3-2.
- *Coordinates are estimates.



BIRD NEST SURVEY: BIRD NEST OBSERVATIONS RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY REPORT











Mariners Marina

